

Uniform in time Estimates for the weak error of the Euler method for SDEs

Paul Dobson Based on joint work with D. Crisan and M. Ottobre





INTRODUCTION

We are interested in approximating stochastic processes which are solutions of the SDE:

$$dX_t = U_0(X_t)dt + \sqrt{2}\sum_{i=1}^d V_i(X_t)dW_t^i.$$

The Euler approximation is given by

$$Y_{t_{n+1}}^{\delta} = Y_{t_n}^{\delta} + U_0(Y_{t_n}^{\delta})\delta + \sqrt{2}\sum_{k=1}^d V_k(Y_{t_n}^{\delta})\Delta B_{t_n}^k$$

where $t_n = n\delta$ and $\Delta B_{t_n} = B_{t_{n+1}} - B_{t_n}$. The weak error can in general be estimated, see [3], by

$$\sup_{t_n \le T} \left| \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_{t_n})] - \mathbb{E}[\varphi(Y_{t_n}^{\delta})] \right| \le C(T, \varphi) \delta$$

The aim is to find conditions under which $C(T, \varphi)$ can by taken independent of T. These will be in terms of the semigroup \mathcal{P}_t defined by

EXAMPLES

Consider the two-dimensional SDE

 $dX_t^1 = X_t^1 dt$ $dX_t^2 = \sqrt{2}X_t^1 dB_t$

In this case the Obtuse Angle Condition is satisfied, hence the derivatives decay exponentially. However neither the solution X_t nor the approximation $Y_{t_n}^{\delta}$ are tight. Hence exponentially decaying derivatives doesn't imply bounds on the moments of the process.

Moreover, tightness of the process does not imply tightness of the approximation for example consider

$$dX_t = (-X_t^3 - X_t)dt + \sqrt{2}dB_t.$$

It is shown in [4] that if $\mathbb{E}[(Y_0^{\delta})^2] \geq 4 + 4/\delta^2$ then $\mathbb{E}[(Y_{t_n}^{\delta})^2] \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. However X_t has second

$$\mathcal{P}_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(X_t)|X_0 = x].$$

ASSUMPTIONS

- 1. The SDE is uniformly elliptic.
- 2. The vector fields U_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d are smooth and Lipschitz.
- 3. There exist constants $\lambda_0, C > 0$ such that for all $f \in C_b^4(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{4} \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k=1}^{d} |\partial_{i_1,\dots,i_k} \mathcal{P}_t f(x)| \le C e^{-\lambda_0 t} ||f||_{C_b^4}$$

4. For δ sufficiently small

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}[(Y_{t_n}^{\delta})^4] < \infty.$$
MAIN THEOREM

Let the above assumptions hold. Then the weak error of the Euler approximation $\{Y_t^{\delta}\}_{t\geq 0}$ converges to 0, uniformly in time, as $\delta \to 0$; that is, there exists some constant K depending only on λ_0 , d and N such that for all $\varphi \in C_b^4(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\delta > 0$ we have

 $\sup_{\substack{t \ge 0 \\ \mathbf{OBTUSE \ ANGLE \ CONDITION \\ \mathbf{Suppose \ for \ all \ }i \in \{1, \dots, d\}, \xi, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}} \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_{t})] - \mathbb{E}[\varphi(Y_{t}^{\delta})]| \le K\delta \|\varphi\|_{C_{b}^{4}}.$

moment bounded uniformly in time.

Conversely, bounded moments does not imply that the weak error converges to zero uniformly in time nor that the derivatives of the semigroup converge to zero exponentially fast. Consider the two dimensional ODE

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}X_t^1 = \left(-X_t^2 + \Psi(X_t)X_t^1\right) dt \\ \frac{d}{dt}X_t^2 = \left(X_t^1 + \Psi(X_t)X_t^2\right) dt \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth bounded function such that $\Psi(x) = 0$ if |x| < 2 and $\Psi(x) = -1$ if |x| > 3. In this case the solution X_t lives on the circle of radius 1 and centre 0, however if $R_n^{\delta} := |Y_{t_n}^{\delta}|$ then R_n^{δ} converges to a number greater than 2. Below is a plot of $R_n^{\delta} - 1$ for different choices of δ .



 $\xi^{T}[V_{i}, V_{0}](x)V_{i}(x)^{T}\xi \leq -\lambda_{0}|\xi^{T}V_{i}(x)|^{2}.$

Here V_0 is the Stratonovich form of the drift coefficient, i.e.

$$V_0(x) = U_0(x) - \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^N V_k^j(x) \partial_j V_k^i(x)$$

This implies, see [2], that

 $|V_i(x) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}_t f(x)| \le e^{-\lambda t} ||f||_{C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$

References

- [1] D. Crisan, P. Dobson, and M. Ottobre. *Uniform in time estimates* for the weak error of the Euler method for SDEs and a Pathwise Approach to Derivative Estimates for Diffusion Semigroups. arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.03524 (2019).
- [2] D. Crisan, M. Ottobre. *Pointwise gradient bounds for degenerate semi*groups (of UFG type). Proc. R. Soc. A 472.2195 (2016): 20160442.
- [3] P. Kloeden and E. Platen. *Numerical Solutions of Stochastic Differential Equations*. Springer, 1992.
- [4] J. C. Mattingly, , A. M. Stuart, and D. J. Higham. *Ergodicity for SDEs and approximations: locally Lipschitz vector fields and degenerate noise*. Stochastic processes and their applications 101.2 (2002): 185-232.
- [5] D. Talay and L. Tubaro. *Expansion of the global error for numerical schemes solving stochastic differential equations*. Stochastic Anal. Appl. 8(4), 483–509, 1990.