Supercooled Stefan problem in 1d: solutions beyond blow-up

François Delarue (Nice – J.-A. Dieudonné)

July, 04 2019

Joint work S. Nadtochiy (Chicago) and M. Shkolnikov (Princeton)

Part I. Motivation and Main results

Supercooling

• Liquid water may exist in metastable state under $0^{\circ}C$

small perturbations or contact with ice ⇒ solidification
see the video (●)

o formulated by Stefan [in late 19's] and Brillouin [early 20's]

• Description of the front of ice during solidification

• PDE point of view: heat equation with free boundary

 \rightsquigarrow ill-posed in classical sense \rightsquigarrow speed of propagation may become infinite

 \sim description up to the emergence of a singularity in the propagation of the front [Fasano et al., DiBenedetto et al., 80's]

• new interest in probability for several years: maths finance, neurosciences with singular mean field interaction

• purpose of the talk : go beyond singularities

 \rightsquigarrow use a probabilistic approach of the problem

- Work in dimension 1
- Denote by Λ_t the position of the front at time *t*

 \rightsquigarrow ice below Λ_t and liquid above Λ_t

 $\circ \Lambda_0 = 0$



• Denote by Λ_t the position of the front at time *t*

 \rightsquigarrow ice below Λ_t and liquid above Λ_t

 $\circ \Lambda_0 = 0$



o initial profile for the temperature u(0, x), x ≥ 0
o inside liquid phase → heat equation for u(t, x), x > Λ_t

 $\circ \Lambda_0 = 0$

• Denote by Λ_t the position of the front at time t

xLIQUID u(t,x) < 0SOLID

o initial profile for the temperature u(0, x), x ≥ 0
o inside liquid phase → heat equation for u(t, x), x > Λ_t

$$\partial_t u(t,x) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx}^2 u(t,x) = 0, \quad x > \Lambda_t$$

• Denote by Λ_t the position of the front at time t



• inside liquid phase \rightarrow heat equation for u(t, x), $x > \Lambda_t$

$$\partial_t u(t,x) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx}^2 u(t,x) = 0, \quad x > \Lambda_t$$

o (formal) boundary conditions

$$u(t, \Lambda_t) = 0, \quad \dot{\Lambda}_t = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \partial_x u(t, \Lambda_t)$$

• Denote by Λ_t the position of the front at time t



• inside liquid phase \rightarrow heat equation for u(t, x), $x > \Lambda_t$

$$\partial_t u(t,x) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx}^2 u(t,x) = 0, \quad x > \Lambda_t$$

o (formal) boundary conditions

$$u(t, \Lambda_t) = 0, \quad \dot{\Lambda}_t = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \partial_x u(t, \Lambda_t)$$

- Regard $-u(t, \cdot)$ as a density (with properly normalized initial condition)
- Provide particle description of the dynamics ($\alpha = 1$)

- Regard $-u(t, \cdot)$ as a density (with properly normalized initial condition)
- Provide particle description of the dynamics ($\alpha = 1$)



• assume N particles and distance between sites is $\frac{1}{N}$

 \circ black particles jump at exponential times, with 1/2 probability to the left and 1/2 to the right

 \rightarrow as long as they do not touch the front!

- Regard $-u(t, \cdot)$ as a density (with properly normalized initial condition)
- Provide particle description of the dynamics ($\alpha = 1$)



• assume N particles and distance between sites is $\frac{1}{N}$

 \circ black particles jump at exponential times, with 1/2 probability to the left and 1/2 to the right

 \rightarrow as long as they do not touch the front!

- Regard $-u(t, \cdot)$ as a density (with properly normalized initial condition)
- Provide particle description of the dynamics ($\alpha = 1$)



• assume N particles and distance between sites is $\frac{1}{N}$

 \circ black particles jump at exponential times, with 1/2 probability to the left and 1/2 to the right

 \rightarrow as long as they do not touch the front!

- Regard $-u(t, \cdot)$ as a density (with properly normalized initial condition)
- Provide particle description of the dynamics ($\alpha = 1$)



• assume N particles and distance between sites is $\frac{1}{N}$

 \circ black particles jump at exponential times, with 1/2 probability to the left and 1/2 to the right

 \rightarrow as long as they do not touch the front!

- Regard $-u(t, \cdot)$ as a density (with properly normalized initial condition)
- Provide particle description of the dynamics ($\alpha = 1$)



• assume N particles and distance between sites is $\frac{1}{N}$

 \circ black particles jump at exponential times, with 1/2 probability to the left and 1/2 to the right

 \rightarrow as long as they do not touch the front!

- Regard $-u(t, \cdot)$ as a density (with properly normalized initial condition)
- Provide particle description of the dynamics ($\alpha = 1$)



• assume N particles and distance between sites is $\frac{1}{N}$

 \circ black particles jump at exponential times, with 1/2 probability to the left and 1/2 to the right

 \rightarrow as long as they do not touch the front!

- Regard $-u(t, \cdot)$ as a density (with properly normalized initial condition)
- Provide particle description of the dynamics ($\alpha = 1$)



• assume N particles and distance between sites is $\frac{1}{N}$

 \circ black particles jump at exponential times, with 1/2 probability to the left and 1/2 to the right

 \rightarrow as long as they do not touch the front!

- Regard $-u(t, \cdot)$ as a density (with properly normalized initial condition)
- Provide particle description of the dynamics ($\alpha = 1$)



o may easily guess the difficulty
 → take an overloaded initial condition

 \rightsquigarrow instantaneous jump of the front!

- Regard $-u(t, \cdot)$ as a density (with properly normalized initial condition)
- Provide particle description of the dynamics ($\alpha = 1$)



may easily guess the difficulty

 \rightsquigarrow take an overloaded initial condition

 \rightarrow instantaneous jump of the front!

- Regard $-u(t, \cdot)$ as a density (with properly normalized initial condition)
- Provide particle description of the dynamics ($\alpha = 1$)



• may easily guess the difficulty

- \rightsquigarrow take an overloaded initial condition
- \rightarrow instantaneous jump of the front!

Continuous version[D. et al., Hambly et al., N. S.]

• May easily replace the discrete dynamics by continuous dynamics inside the liquid phase

• N particles

• evolve like independent Brownian motions before one them touches the front

• each time one particle is absorbed by the front, the front receives an upward kick of size α/N

 $\Rightarrow \text{ particle } \# i \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$ $X_t^i = X_0^i + B_t^i, \qquad t \le \tau^i = \inf\{s \ge 0 : X_s^i \le \Lambda_s\}$ $\Lambda_t = \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^j \le t\}}$

 $\circ X_0^1, \cdots, X_0^N \perp$ initial conditions, $B^1, \cdots, B^N \perp$ Brownian motions

• reformulate in terms of $X_t^i - \Lambda_t$ (distance from particle to front)

Continuous version[D. et al., Hambly et al., N. S.]

• May easily replace the discrete dynamics by continuous dynamics inside the liquid phase

• N particles

• evolve like independent Brownian motions before one them touches the front

• each time one particle is absorbed by the front, the front receives an upward kick of size α/N

 $\Rightarrow \text{ particle } \# i \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$ $X_t^i - \Lambda_t = X_0^i + B_t^i - \Lambda_t, \qquad t \le \tau^i = \inf\{s \ge 0 : X_s^i - \Lambda_t \le 0\}$ $\Lambda_t = \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^j \le t\}}$ $\circ X_0^1, \cdots, X_0^N \perp \text{ initial conditions, } B^1, \cdots, B^N \perp \text{ Brownian}$

motions

• reformulate in terms of $X_t^i - \Lambda_t$ (distance from particle to front)

Probabilistic formulation

• (Formal) mean field limit \sim provide the dynamics of one typical particle within the population

 $X_t = X_0 + B_t - \alpha \mathbb{P}(\tau \le t), \quad t \le \tau = \inf\{s \ge 0 : X_s \le 0\}$

 \sim attention: here, focus on the distance from the particle to the front

• front is here given by $\Lambda_t = \alpha \mathbb{P}(\tau \le t)$

• Formal connection with Stefan problem

$$u(t, \mathbf{x} + \Lambda_t) = -\underbrace{\frac{d}{dx} \mathbb{P}(X_t \in [x, x + dx], t < \tau)}_{p(t, x)}$$

Probabilistic formulation

• (Formal) mean field limit \sim provide the dynamics of one typical particle within the population

 $X_t = X_0 + B_t - \alpha \mathbb{P}(\tau \le t), \quad t \le \tau = \inf\{s \ge 0 : X_s \le 0\}$

 \sim attention: here, focus on the distance from the particle to the front

• front is here given by $\Lambda_t = \alpha \mathbb{P}(\tau \le t)$

• Formal connection with Stefan problem

$$u(t, x + \Lambda_t) = -\underbrace{\frac{d}{dx} \mathbb{P}(X_t \in [x, x + dx], t < \tau)}_{p(t,x)}$$

 \circ easily guess the difficulty: mass may accumulate at x = 0

 $\sim p$ is smooth at the boundary? \Leftrightarrow differentiability of Λ ?

$$\dot{\Lambda}_t = \frac{\alpha}{2} \partial_x p(t, 0)$$
 (hitting time $dX_t = -\dot{\Lambda}_t dt + dB_t, t$)

 \rightarrow not even clear if p may satisfy Dirichlet condition

Probabilistic formulation

• (Formal) mean field limit \sim provide the dynamics of one typical particle within the population

 $X_t = X_0 + B_t - \alpha \mathbb{P}(\tau \le t), \quad t \le \tau = \inf\{s \ge 0 : X_s \le 0\}$

 \sim attention: here, focus on the distance from the particle to the front

• front is here given by $\Lambda_t = \alpha \mathbb{P}(\tau \le t)$

• Formal connection with Stefan problem

$$\int_{\Lambda_t}^{\infty} \left(\partial_t u(t, x) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u(t, x) \right) dx = 0 \Rightarrow -\frac{1}{\alpha} \dot{\Lambda}_t = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x u(t, \Lambda_t)$$

• easily guess the difficulty: mass may accumulate at x = 0

 $\rightarrow p$ is smooth at the boundary? \Leftrightarrow differentiability of Λ ?

$$\dot{\Lambda}_t = \frac{\alpha}{2} \partial_x p(t, 0)$$
 (hitting time $dX_t = -\dot{\Lambda}_t dt + dB_t, t$)

 \rightarrow not even clear if p may satisfy Dirichlet condition

• Possible jumps of Λ (Λ taken càd-làg)

$$\Lambda_t - \Lambda_{t-} = \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^j = t\}}$$

• too weak description of the jumps

• Possible jumps of Λ (Λ taken càd-làg)

$$\Lambda_t - \Lambda_{t-} = \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^j = t\}}$$

o too weak description of the jumps: jumps are not ordered
 → natural solution (sequentially ordered)



• Possible jumps of Λ (Λ taken càd-làg)

$$\Lambda_t - \Lambda_{t-} = \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^j = t\}}$$

- too weak description of the jumps: jumps are not ordered
 - \rightarrow natural solution (sequentially ordered)



• Possible jumps of Λ (Λ taken càd-làg)

$$\Lambda_t - \Lambda_{t-} = \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^j = t\}}$$

o too weak description of the jumps: jumps are not ordered
 → natural solution (sequentially ordered)



• Possible jumps of Λ (Λ taken càd-làg)

$$\Lambda_t - \Lambda_{t-} = \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^j = t\}}$$

o too weak description of the jumps: jumps are not ordered
 → natural solution (sequentially ordered)



• Possible jumps of Λ (Λ taken càd-làg)

$$\Lambda_t - \Lambda_{t-} = \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^j = t\}}$$

• too weak description of the jumps: jumps are not ordered

 \rightarrow non-sequentially ordered: solution with $\Lambda_t - \Lambda_{t-} = 3/N$



• Possible jumps of Λ (Λ taken càd-làg)

$$\Lambda_t - \Lambda_{t-} = \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^j = t\}}$$

• too weak description of the jumps: jumps are not ordered

 \rightarrow non-sequentially ordered: solution with $\Lambda_t - \Lambda_{t-} = 3/N$



• Possible jumps of Λ (Λ taken càd-làg)

$$\Lambda_t - \Lambda_{t-} = \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^j = t\}}$$

• too weak description of the jumps: jumps are not ordered \rightarrow non-sequentially ordered: solution with $\Lambda_t - \Lambda_{t-} = 3/N$



• Possible jumps of Λ (Λ taken càd-làg)

$$\Lambda_t - \Lambda_{t-} = \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^j = t\}}$$

• too weak description of the jumps: jumps are not ordered

 \sim non-sequentially ordered: solution with $\Lambda_t - \Lambda_{t-} = 3/N$



o need condition to force jumps to be ordered

• Possible jumps of Λ (Λ taken càd-làg)

$$\Lambda_t - \Lambda_{t-} = \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^j = t\}}$$

• too weak description of the jumps: jumps are not ordered

 \rightarrow non-sequentially ordered: solution with $\Lambda_t - \Lambda_{t-} = 3/N$



contribution to the jump of particles $\leq x$

 \bullet Possible jumps of Λ

• original description of the jumps is too weak

• To get jump $\geq x$ at time *t*, need the mass

$$\underbrace{\frac{\alpha}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\mathbf{1}_{X_{i-}^{j}\in(0,x]}}_{\text{contribution to the jump of particles}} \geq x$$

• Write the mean field equation in the form

 $X_t = X_0 + B_t - \alpha \mathbb{P}(\tau \le t), \quad t \le \tau = \inf\{s \ge 0 : X_s \le 0\}$ $\sim \Lambda_t = \alpha \mathbb{P}(\tau \le t)$

require

$$\Lambda_t - \Lambda_{t-} = \inf \left\{ \mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0} : \alpha \mathbb{P}(X_{t-} \in (0, \mathbf{x}]) < \mathbf{x} \right\}$$

• \exists by tightness from particle system using *M*1-topology for Λ

Further prospects

• Application to neurosciences [Carrillo et al., D. et al.] • regard -X as the firing potential of a neuron

 $\rightarrow \tau$ is the spiking time of the neuron

• $\alpha \rightsquigarrow$ excitation parameter \Rightarrow neurons are more likely to fire when one of them has spiked

• Application to finance [Hambly et al., N. S.]

 \circ regard X as the wealth of a company

 $\rightarrow \tau$ is the default time of the company

 $\circ \alpha \rightarrow$ intensity of the default

• More general types of noise

• how do the fluctuations impact the singularity?

 \rightarrow may have connection with mean field rough equations [Cass Lyons, Deuschel et al., Bailleul et al.]

Further prospects

• Application to neurosciences [Carrillo et al., D. et al.] • regard -X as the firing potential of a neuron

 $\rightarrow \tau$ is the spiking time of the neuron

• $\alpha \rightsquigarrow$ excitation parameter \Rightarrow neurons are more likely to fire when one of them has spiked

• Application to finance [Hambly et al., N. S.]

 \circ regard X as the wealth of a company

 $\rightarrow \tau$ is the default time of the company

 $\circ \alpha \rightarrow$ intensity of the default

• More general types of noise

may put a common noise

 \sim get an SPDE with a free boundary [Hambly Ledger Sojmark]

Main results

• Assume : $u(0, \cdot)$ is bounded and changes monotonicity finitely often on compacts

• take physical solution (X, Λ)

- Then : for any t > 0, $p(t-, \cdot)$ has two same properties as $u(0, \cdot)$
 - (i) If $\limsup_{x\downarrow 0} x^{-1}p(t-,x) < \infty$, then $\Lambda \in C^1([t, t+\epsilon))$ for some $\epsilon > 0$
 - (ii) If $\limsup_{x\downarrow 0} x^{-1}p(t-,x) = \infty$ but $\lim_{x\downarrow 0} p(-t,x) < \frac{1}{\alpha}$, then Λ is 1/2-Hölder continuous on $[t, t+\epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$
- (iii) If $\lim_{x\downarrow 0} p(t-, x) \ge \frac{1}{\alpha}$, then may jump

In all cases, $\exists \epsilon > 0 : \Lambda \in C^1((t, t + \epsilon))$ and $p(s, \cdot), s \in (t, t + \epsilon)$, solves

$$\partial_t p = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} p + \dot{\Lambda}_t \partial_x p, \ p(\cdot, 0) = 0 \ \text{on} \ (t, t + \epsilon), \ \dot{\Lambda}_s = \frac{\alpha}{2} \partial_x p(s, 0)$$

• Moreover : uniqueness

Part II. Elements of proof

• Step 0: There is always a density! Smooth away from the front

 \circ shift of Brownian motion up to τ

- Step 0 : There is always a density! Smooth away from the front
- Step 1 : No possible jump of Λ in $(t, t + \epsilon)$, i.e.
 - $\circ \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(\tau \ge s, X_{s-} \le x)}_{\text{remaining mass} \le x} \le \frac{\beta(z)}{\alpha} x \quad x \le \delta, s \in [t+z, t+\epsilon], \beta(z) < 1$
 - (i) if $\lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \sup_{x \in (0,\eta)} p(t-,x) < 1/\alpha$

- Step 0 : There is always a density! Smooth away from the front
- Step 1 : No possible jump of Λ in $(t, t + \epsilon)$, i.e.

$$\circ \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(\tau \ge s, X_{s-} \le x)}_{\alpha} \le \frac{\beta(z)}{\alpha} x \quad x \le \delta, s \in [t+z, t+\epsilon], \beta(z) < 1$$

(i) if $\lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \sup_{x \in (0,\eta)} p(t-,x) < 1/\alpha$



- Step 0 : There is always a density! Smooth away from the front
- Step 1: No possible jump of Λ in $(t, t + \epsilon)$, i.e.

$$\circ \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(\tau \ge s, X_{s-} \le x)}_{\alpha} \le \frac{\beta(z)}{\alpha} x \quad x \le \delta, s \in [t+z, t+\epsilon], \beta(z) < 1$$

(i) if $\lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \sup_{x \in (0,\eta)} p(t-,x) < 1/\alpha$

(ii) if $p(t-, \cdot)$ locally monotone in right neighborhood of any x > 0

- Step 0 : There is always a density! Smooth away from the front
- Step 1 : No possible jump of Λ in $(t, t + \epsilon)$, i.e.

$$\circ \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(\tau \ge s, X_{s-} \le x)}_{\alpha} \le \frac{\beta(z)}{\alpha} x \quad x \le \delta, s \in [t+z, t+\epsilon], \beta(z) < 1$$

(i) if lim_{η↓0} sup_{x∈(0,η)} p(t-, x) < 1/α
(ii) if p(t-, ·) locally monotone in right neighborhood of any x > 0



- Step 0 : There is always a density! Smooth away from the front
- Step 1: No possible jump of Λ in $(t, t + \epsilon)$, i.e.

$$\circ \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(\tau \ge s, X_{s-} \le x)}_{\alpha} \le \frac{\beta(z)}{\alpha} x \quad x \le \delta, s \in [t+z, t+\epsilon], \beta(z) < 1$$

- (i) if $\lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \sup_{x \in (0,\eta)} p(t-,x) < 1/\alpha$
- (ii) if $p(t-, \cdot)$ locally monotone in right neighborhood of any x > 0after jump



- Step 0 : There is always a density! Smooth away from the front
- Step 1 : No possible jump of Λ in $(t, t + \epsilon)$, i.e.

$$\circ \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(\tau \ge s, X_{s-} \le x)}_{\alpha} \le \frac{\beta(z)}{\alpha} x \quad x \le \delta, s \in [t+z, t+\epsilon], \beta(z) < 1$$

(i) if lim_{η↓0} sup_{x∈(0,η)} p(t-, x) < 1/α
(ii) if p(t-, ·) locally monotone in right neighborhood of any x > 0

• Proof

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau \ge s, X_{s-} \le x)$$

$$\le \int \mathbb{P}(\Lambda_{s-} - \Lambda_{t-} \le y + B_s - B_t \le x + \Lambda_{s-} - \Lambda_{t-})p(t-, y)dy$$

$$= \int [F(x + \Lambda_{s-} - \Lambda_{t-} - z) - F(\Lambda_{s-} - \Lambda_{t-} - z)]g(s-t, z)dz$$

- Step 0 : There is always a density! Smooth away from the front
- Step 1 : No possible jump of Λ in $(t, t + \epsilon)$, i.e.

$$\circ \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(\tau \ge s, X_{s-} \le x)}_{\alpha} \le \frac{\beta(z)}{\alpha} x \quad x \le \delta, s \in [t+z, t+\epsilon], \beta(z) < 1$$

• Proof

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau \ge s, X_{s-} \le x)$$

$$= \int \underbrace{\left[F(x + \Lambda_{s-} - \Lambda_{t-} - z) - F(\Lambda_{s-} - \Lambda_{t-} - z)\right]}_{\text{only local behavior counts}} g(s - t, z) dz$$

$$\circ$$
 F c.d.f. of $p(t-, \cdot)$

 \rightarrow case (i) *F* is locally < $1/\alpha$ Lipschitz

 \sim case (ii) *F* becomes locally < $1/\alpha$ Lipschitz after 0

• Step 1: If
$$\mathbb{P}(\tau \ge s, X_{s-} \le x) \le \frac{\beta}{\alpha} x, x \le \delta, s \in [t, t+\epsilon], \beta < 1$$

 $\Rightarrow \Lambda \text{ is } 1/2\text{-Hölder on } [t, t + \epsilon]$

• Step 1: If
$$\mathbb{P}(\tau \ge s, X_{s-} \le x) \le \frac{\beta}{\alpha}x, x \le \delta, s \in [t, t+\epsilon], \beta < 1$$

 $\Rightarrow \Lambda \text{ is } 1/2\text{-Hölder on } [t, t+\epsilon]$

• Proof $\Lambda_s - \Lambda_t$ advance of front between *t* and *s*

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_s - \Lambda_t &\leq \alpha \int \mathbb{P} \Big(y + \inf_{r \in [t,s]} \{ B_s - B_t \} \leq \Lambda_s - \Lambda_t \Big) p(t,y) dy \\ &\leq \alpha \int_0^{\Lambda_s - \Lambda_t} \dots + \alpha \int_{\Lambda_s - \Lambda_t}^{\infty} \dots \\ &\leq \beta (\Lambda_s - \Lambda_t) + 2 \int_0^{\infty} \Phi(\frac{y}{\sqrt{s-t}}) p(t,y + \Lambda_s - \Lambda_t) dy \\ &\leq \beta (\Lambda_s - \Lambda_t) + C \sqrt{s-t} \end{split}$$

 $\rightsquigarrow \Phi$ Gaussian survival function

- Step 1: If $\mathbb{P}(\tau \ge s, X_{s-} \le x) \le \frac{\beta}{\alpha}x, x \le \delta, s \in [t, t+\epsilon], \beta < 1$ $\Rightarrow \Lambda \text{ is } 1/2\text{-Hölder on } [t, t+\epsilon]$
- Step 2 : If Λ is 1/2-Hölder on $[t, t + \epsilon]$

 $\Rightarrow p(s, x) \leq C x^{\chi} \text{ for } s \in [t + \epsilon/2, t + \epsilon] \text{ and } x \leq \delta$

• Proof p satisfies Fokker-Planck \sim Feynman-Kac

$$p(s, x) = \mathbb{E}\Big[p(s - \rho, \mathbf{Y}_{\rho}) \Big| \mathbf{Y}_{0} = x\Big]$$

 \rightsquigarrow where $dY_r = \alpha \dot{\Lambda}_{s-r} dr + dB_r$

 $\rightsquigarrow \rho = \inf\{r \ge 0 : Y_r \notin (0,\delta)\} \land \delta^2, \delta \ll 1, x \le \delta/2$

• regularity of *p* at the boundary $\leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}\{Y_{\rho} = 0\}$

$$p(s, x) \le \mathbb{P}(\rho \ge \delta^2) \sup_{r \in [0, \delta^2], y \in [0, \delta]} p(s - r, y)$$

• probability to hit the boundary \rightarrow competition between *B* and Λ \rightarrow but Λ 1/2 Hölder \Rightarrow *B* wins with >0 probability

- Step 1: If $\mathbb{P}(\tau \ge s, X_{s-} \le x) \le \frac{\beta}{\alpha} x, x \le \delta, s \in [t, t+\epsilon], \beta < 1$ $\Rightarrow \Lambda \text{ is } 1/2\text{-Hölder on } [t, t+\epsilon]$
- Step 2: If Λ is 1/2-Hölder on $[t, t + \epsilon]$

 $\Rightarrow p(s,x) \leq C x^{\chi} \text{ for } s \in [t+\epsilon/2,t+\epsilon] \text{ and } x \leq \delta$

Step 4: If Λ is 1/2-Hölder on [t, t + ε]
⇒ p(s, x) ≤ Cx for s ∈ [t + ε/2, t + ε] and x ≤ δ and p is smooth
Proof :

• pass from Hölder decay from Lipschitz with barrier lemma (comparison of solutions)

 $\circ p$ Lipschitz at the boundary $\Rightarrow \Lambda$ Lipschitz

 $\circ X$ is a standard drifted Brownian motion

• monotonicity propagates if $\sharp(\text{sign changes } \partial_x u(t, \cdot))$ are controlled

 \circ *u*(*t*, *x*) is analytic in *x* > Λ_{*t*} ⇒ zeros of $\partial_x u(t, x)$ are isolated in *x* away from the front

 \rightarrow propagation of the zeros in time!

• monotonicity propagates if $\sharp(\text{sign changes } \partial_x u(t, \cdot))$ are controlled

 \circ take an interval and control sign changes at right boundary



• monotonicity propagates if $\sharp(\text{sign changes } \partial_x u(t, \cdot))$ are controlled

• take a contour with a finite number of zeros ($\approx \#$ sign changes)



• monotonicity propagates if $\sharp(\text{sign changes } \partial_x u(t, \cdot))$ are controlled

o from starting point, may draw a minimal curve of zeros



• monotonicity propagates if $\sharp(\text{sign changes } \partial_x u(t, \cdot))$ are controlled

o from starting point, may draw a minimal curve of zeros



• monotonicity propagates if $\sharp(\text{sign changes } \partial_x u(t, \cdot))$ are controlled

• by max principle, curves hitting the contour cannot meet



• monotonicity propagates if $\sharp(\text{sign changes } \partial_x u(t, \cdot))$ are controlled

 \circ problem when zero curve touches the front



• monotonicity propagates if $\sharp(\text{sign changes } \partial_x u(t, \cdot))$ are controlled

 \circ sign locally preserved under the curve: prove it ≥ 0



• monotonicity propagates if $\sharp(\text{sign changes } \partial_x u(t, \cdot))$ are controlled

• after hitting point of front by curve: u smooth, $\partial_x u < 0$ locally



• monotonicity propagates if $\sharp(\text{sign changes } \partial_x u(t, \cdot))$ are controlled

• claim: $\partial_x u < 0$ globally: argue by contradiction



• monotonicity propagates if $\sharp(\text{sign changes } \partial_x u(t, \cdot))$ are controlled

 \circ a new $\partial_x u = 0$ would contradict maximum principle



<u>Uniqueness</u>

Get a solution that is smooth except at some isolated times

 enters smooth regime after any singularity
 uniqueness by stability arguments

• Take two solutions (X, Λ) and (X', Λ')

• they satisfy main estimates! prove local uniqueness after 0 using the sole assumptions on $u(0, \cdot)$

$$\begin{split} &|\Lambda - \Lambda'|_{[0,t]} \\ &\leq \alpha \Big| \mathbb{P}\Big(\inf_{s \in [0,t]} (X_{0-} + B_s - \Lambda_s) \leq 0\Big) - \mathbb{P}\Big(\inf_{s \in [0,t]} (X_{0-} + B_s - \Lambda'_s) \leq 0\Big) \Big| \\ &\leq \alpha \mathbb{P}\Big(0 \leq \inf_{s \in [0,t]} (X_{0-} + B_s - \Lambda'_s) \leq |\Lambda - \Lambda'|_{[0,t]}\Big) \\ &+ \alpha \mathbb{P}\Big(0 \leq \inf_{s \in [0,t]} (X_{0-} + B_s - \Lambda_s) \leq |\Lambda - \Lambda'|_{[0,t]}\Big) \end{split}$$

<u>Uniqueness</u>

Get a solution that is smooth except at some isolated times

 enters smooth regime after any singularity
 uniqueness by stability arguments

• Take two solutions (X, Λ) and (X', Λ')

• they satisfy main estimates! prove local uniqueness after 0 using the sole assumptions on $u(0, \cdot)$

$$\begin{split} |\Lambda - \Lambda'|_{[0,t]} &\leq \alpha \Big| \mathbb{P}\Big(\inf_{s \in [0,t]} (X_{0-} + B_s - \Lambda_s) \leq 0\Big) - \mathbb{P}\Big(\inf_{s \in [0,t]} (X_{0-} + B_s - \Lambda'_s) \leq 0\Big) \Big| \\ &\leq \alpha \mathbb{P}\Big(0 \leq \inf_{s \in [0,t]} (X_{0-} + B_s - \Lambda'_s) \leq |\Lambda - \Lambda'|_{[0,t]}\Big) \\ &+ \alpha \mathbb{P}\Big(0 \leq \inf_{s \in [0,t]} (X_{0-} + B_s - \Lambda_s) \leq |\Lambda - \Lambda'|_{[0,t]}\Big) \\ &\leq |\Lambda - \Lambda'|_{[0,t]} - \Psi(|\Lambda - \Lambda'|_{[0,t]}) \end{split}$$

• elaborate on () \rightsquigarrow where Ψ is strictly positive on $(0, +\infty)$ (true for a small piece of time only)