

# Gaussian Random Field: simulation and quantification of the error

Victor Rabiet

EMSE

Workshop 6 November 2017







- Separability
- Proving continuity without separability
- 2 Simulation
  - Exact simulation
  - The stationary case
  - Algorithm and quantification of the error
- 3 Application
  - Medical framework
  - Simulation
  - Example of non-stationary gaussian random field

Separability Proving continuity without separability

# Definition

#### Definition

A real valued random field  $\phi$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{P})$  indexed by a metric space (M, d) is called separable, if there exists an at most countable subset S of M which is dense in (M, d), so that for all closed intervals C in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and all open subsets O of M,

$$\{\phi(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{O}\} = \{\phi(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{O} \cap \mathbf{S}\}.$$

holds. Then S is called a separating set for  $\phi$ .

(日)

Separability Proving continuity without separability

# Alternative definition

#### Lemma

A real valued random field  $\phi$  on  $(\Omega, A, \mathbf{P})$  indexed by (M, d) is separable with separating set S if and only if one of the following equivalent statements holds :

 $(S_1)$  For every open subset O in M,

$$\inf_{y \in O \cap S} \phi(y) = \inf_{x \in O} \phi(x),$$
  
and 
$$\sup_{y \in O \cap S} \phi(y) = \sup_{x \in O} \phi(x);$$

 $\begin{array}{l} (S_1') \ \ \mbox{For every } x \in M, \\ & \lim_{y \to x, \ y \in S} \phi(y) = \liminf_{y \to x} \phi(x), \\ & and \quad \liminf_{y \to x, \ y \in S} \phi(y) = \liminf_{y \to x} \phi(x). \end{array}$ 

Separability Proving continuity without separability

# Definitions

- Let *M* be a compact of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . (Or  $M = [-N, N]^d$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ); •  $D_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \frac{k}{2^n}, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \right\} \cap M$ ,
- We define M the following norm : for all  $x, y \in M$ ,

$$d(x,y) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \sup_{i \in 1,...,d} |x_i - y_i|.$$

• We will denote  $\delta_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2^n}$ .

・ロ ・ ・ 一 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

Separability Proving continuity without separability

## Properties

We have

$$D_n \subset D_{n+1}$$

and

$$|D_n| \leq \left(2^n \operatorname{diam}(M) + 1\right)^d,$$

For  $x \in D_n$  we define  $(\delta_n(=\frac{1}{2^n}))$ 

$$C_n(x) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \{y \in D_n, \ d(x,y) \leq \delta_n\}.$$

We have the following upperbound

$$C_n(x) \leq 3^d$$
.

э

Separability Proving continuity without separability

#### We define also

$$\pi_n \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \{\{x, y\}, \ x, y \in D_n, \ d(x, y) \leq \delta_n\}.$$

#### Then

$$|\pi_n| \leq |D_n| \sup_{x \in D_n} |C_n(x)| \leq (2^n \operatorname{diam}(M) + 1)^d 3^d.$$

(*D*) For all n > 1 and  $x, y \in D_n$ , there exists  $x', y' \in D_{n+1}$  such that

• 
$$d(x, x') \le \delta_{n+1}$$
 et  $d(y, y') \le \delta_{n+1}$ ;  
•  $d(x', y') \le d(x, y)$ .

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● の Q @

Separability Proving continuity without separability

# Conditions for the random field

We finally set

$$D = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n,$$

 $(\mathcal{C})$  there exist two nondecreasing functions q and r such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\pi_n| q(\delta_n) < +\infty \qquad (\mathcal{C}_1)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r(\delta_n) < +\infty \tag{C_2}$$

・ロト ・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

$$\mathbf{P}\left(|\phi(x)-\phi(y)|\geq r\big(d(x,y)\big)\right)\leq q\big(d(x,y)\big),\qquad (\mathcal{C}_3)$$

for all  $x, y \in M$  with  $d(x, y) < \rho$ .

Separability Proving continuity without separability

# Choice of r

We want *r* being "as big as possible", along the condition ( $C_2$ ) : we choose (with  $\rho < 1$  and  $\alpha > 1$ )

$$r(h) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \left(\frac{1}{\ln_2(1/h)}\right)^{\alpha} = \left(\frac{1}{|\ln_2(h)|}\right)^{\alpha}, \qquad 0 < h < 1 \qquad (1)$$

since then

$$r(\delta_n) = r\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{\ln_2(2^n)}\right)^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}},$$

・ロ ・ ・ 一 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

3

Separability Proving continuity without separability

# Choice of q

$$q(h) = h^{(1+\beta)d}$$

#### With

$$ert \pi_n ert \leq \left(2^n \operatorname{diam}(M) + 1
ight)^d 3^d \leq (6 \operatorname{diam}(M))^d 2^{nd}$$

we have  $q(\delta_n) = \frac{1}{2^{(1+\beta)dn}}$  and

$$|\pi_n|q(\delta_n) \leq (6\mathrm{diam}(M))^d \frac{2^{nd}}{2^{(1+\beta)dn}} = C_d \frac{1}{(2^{\beta d})^n}$$

since  $\beta d > 0$ ,  $2^{\beta d} > 1$ , leads to a convergent series.

< (2) × (2)

э

Separability Proving continuity without separability

## **Fundamental Lemma**

#### Lemma

Under the conditions  $(\mathcal{D})$  and  $(\mathcal{C})$ , there exists a set  $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ ,  $\mathbf{P}(\Omega') = 1$ , such that for all  $\omega \in \Omega'$  there exists  $n(\omega) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

• for all 
$$n \ge n(\omega)$$
,

$$\max_{(x,y)\in\pi_n} |\phi(x,\omega) - \phi(y,\omega)| \le r(\delta_n);$$
(2)

2 for all  $m \ge n \ge n(\omega)$ , and every  $x, y \in \mathcal{D}_m$  with  $d(x, y) \le \delta_n$ we have

$$|\phi(\mathbf{x},\omega)-\phi(\mathbf{y},\omega)|\leq 2\sum_{k=n}^{m}r(\delta_k).$$
 (3)

æ

Separability Proving continuity without separability

We can state the continuity with respect to the set  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{D}}$ 

#### Proposition

Under the conditions  $(\mathcal{D})$  and  $(\mathcal{C})$  there exists a set  $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ ,  $\mathbf{P}(\Omega') = 1$ , such that for all  $\omega \in \Omega'$  the restriction of the function  $x \mapsto \phi(x, \omega)$  to  $\mathcal{D}$  is (uniformly) continuous.

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ ヨ・ ・ 日・ ・

Separability Proving continuity without separability

#### Theorem

Let  $\phi$  be continuous in probability so that the conditions  $(\mathcal{D})$  and  $(\mathcal{C})$  hold. Then, there exists  $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ ,  $\mathbf{P}(\Omega') = 1$ , such that we have a uniformly sample continuous modification  $\tilde{\phi}$  of  $\phi$  such that  $\tilde{\phi} = \phi$  on  $D \cap \Omega'$ .

#### Lemma

Suppose that the random field  $\phi$  satisfies condition ( $C_3$ ) with

$$\lim_{x\to 0}q(x)=\lim_{x\to 0}r(x)=0$$

(which is true, if the three conditions (C) are satisfied). Then  $\phi$  is continuous in probability.

(日)

## Exact simulation

- Let  $S_n$  be a finite index set (size  $n \times n$ ) associated with a random field  $\longrightarrow$  Gaussian vector of size  $n^2$ , denoted by *X*.
- The covariance *C* is then a  $n^2 \times n^2$ -matrix.
- We know that if Z is a Gaussian vector of size  $n^2$ , which the componants have i.i.d. normal distributions (a « white noise ») and A is such that  $A^2 = C$ , then X has the same law as AZ.

・ロト ・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

## Examples with Gaussian covariance

The matlab function "simgauss(n)" computes a square of size  $(2^{n} + 1) \times (2^{n} + 1)$ .

We have the following duration times :

» simgauss(2);
Elapsed time is 0.066492 seconds.
» simgauss(3);
Elapsed time is 0.244321 seconds.
» simgauss(4);
Elapsed time is 2.839962 seconds.
» simgauss(5);
Elapsed time is 44.630163 seconds.

A (10) A (10)

## Examples with Gaussian covariance

» simgauss(6); Elapsed time is 771.891478 seconds.



FIGURE: Gaussian kernel, variance : 0.01

Image: A matrix

## Examples with Gaussian covariance

» simgauss(7); Elapsed time is 8551.463134 seconds.



FIGURE: Gaussian kernel, variance : 0.01

Image: A matrix

< E

Exact simulation Exact simulation Algorithm and quantification of the error

## Examples with Gaussian covariance



FIGURE: Gaussian kernel, variance : 0.05

» simgauss(7); Elapsed time is 8475.642908 seconds.

### Examples with Gaussian covariance

The time is not the only issue of the naïve algorithm :

» simgauss(8); Requested 66049x66049 (32.5GB) array exceeds maximum array size preference. Creation of arrays greater than this limit may take a long time and cause MATLAB to become unresponsive. See array size limit or preference panel for more information.

(日)

## **Generalized Random Field**

We consider the complexification of the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) imes \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space, and denote by  $L^0_K(P)$  the space of real-or complex valued random variables, with  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

#### Definition

A generalized random field on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a K-linear mapping

$$\varphi: \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto L^0_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{P})$$

where  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

æ

| Continuity | Exact simulation                          |
|------------|---|
| imulation  | Exact simulation                          |
| oplication | Algorithm and quantification of the error |

### Theorem (Minlos' theorem)

Let  $\Xi$  be a characteristic function on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , i.e.

- $\Xi$  is continuous in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,
- ② Ξ is positive definite,
- ③ Ξ(0) = 1.

Then there exists a unique probability measure  $\mu$  on  $(S'(\mathbb{R}^d), \sigma_w)$ , such that for all  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)} \boldsymbol{e}^{i\langle\omega,f\rangle} \boldsymbol{d}\mu(\omega) = \Xi(f)$$

*i.e.*  $\Xi(f)$  is the Fourier transform of a countably additive positive normalized measure.

# Generalized white noise

### Definition

A generalized random field W on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is called white noise, if its characteristic function is given by

$$\Xi_{\mathrm{WN}}(f) = \boldsymbol{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle f, f \rangle_{L_2(R^d)}}.$$

**Remark :** A real-valued white noise on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , is a linear mapping  $W : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto L^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , where  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is some probability space, so that the family  $\{W(f), f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$  is a centered Gaussian family with

$$Cov(W(f), W(g)) = E[W(f)W(g)] = \langle f, g \rangle_{L_2(R^d)}.$$

#### Proposition

*W* extends to an isometric embedding of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  into  $L^2(P)$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be a generalized random field. We define operations via dual pairing :

### Definition

• The Fourier transform F and its inverse  $F^{-1}$  are defined on  $\varphi$  via

$$\mathcal{F}\varphi(f) := \varphi(\mathcal{F}^{-1}f)$$
  
 $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi(f) := \varphi(\mathcal{F}f)$ 

2 If  $g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with at most polynomial growth, then

$$g\varphi(f) := \varphi(\overline{g}f)$$

э

## Construction of Stationary Gaussian Random Fields

Let  $\varphi$  be a stationary, real-valued, centered Gaussian random field with covariance

$$Cov(\varphi(f), \varphi(g)) = \langle f, Cg \rangle_{L_2(R^d)}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

with  $C : S(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Assume that *C* is given by an integral kernel, which by stationarity can be written as

$$Cf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(x-y)f(y)dy,$$

where K is even because of the symmetry of C, and positive definite.

| Continuity  | Exact simulation                          |
|-------------|---|
| Simulation  | Exact simulation                          |
| Application | Algorithm and quantification of the error |

Hence if K is continuous,

$$K(x) = \int e^{-2\pi i x p} d\Gamma(p)$$
 (Böchner Theorem)

 We assume that Γ has a density γ which is supposed to be strictly positive and smooth. Then γ<sup>1/2</sup> is a strictly positive smooth root of γ.

We set

$$\varphi(f) := (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{F}W)(f), \qquad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

| Continuity | Exact simulation                          |
|------------|---|
| Simulation | Exact simulation                          |
| pplication | Algorithm and quantification of the error |

## **Discrete Fourier transform**

- $N_1, \ldots, N_d$  will represent even positive integers.
- For all  $u = (u_1, ..., u_d) \in \prod_{i=1}^d [\![0, N_i 1]\!]$  :

$$\mathcal{F}_{N_{1},...,N_{d}}(f)(u) := \frac{1}{N_{1}\cdots N_{d}} \sum_{\substack{0 \le k_{1} \le N_{1}-1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \le k_{d} \le N_{d}-1}} e^{2i\pi \left(\frac{u_{1}k_{1}}{N_{1}} + \dots + \frac{u_{d}k_{d}}{N_{d}}\right)} f(k_{1},\dots,k_{d}).$$
(4)

We will denote by  $\mathcal{F}^{S}_{(N_i)}$  the "symmetric" discrete Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}_{N_{1},\ldots,N_{d}}^{S}(g)(v) := \frac{1}{N_{1}\cdots N_{d}} \sum_{\substack{N_{1} \leq k_{1} \leq \frac{N_{1}}{2} - 1 \\ \vdots \\ Victor Fablet}} e^{2i\pi \left(\frac{v_{1}k_{1}}{N_{1}} + \cdots + \frac{v_{d}k_{d}}{N_{d}}\right)} g(k_{1},\ldots,k_{d}).$$

| Continuity  | Exact simulation                          |
|-------------|---|
| Simulation  | Exact simulation                          |
| Application | Algorithm and quantification of the error |

$$\operatorname{sym}_{(N_i)}: \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^d \llbracket 0, N_i \rrbracket \to \prod_{i=1}^d \llbracket -\frac{N_i}{2}, \frac{N_i}{2} \rrbracket \\ (x_i) \mapsto (y_i) \text{ with } \begin{bmatrix} y_i = x_i & \text{if } x_i \in \llbracket 0, \frac{N_i}{2} - 1 \rrbracket \\ y_i = x_i - N_i & \text{if } x_i \in \llbracket \frac{N_i}{2}, N \rrbracket \end{cases}$$
(6)

and, conversely,

$$\operatorname{sym}_{(N_i)}^{-1}: \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left[ \left[ -\frac{N_i}{2}, \frac{N_i}{2} \right] \right] \to \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left[ \left[ 0, N_i \right] \right] \\ (y_i) \mapsto (x_i) \text{ with } \begin{bmatrix} x_i = y_i & \text{if } y_i \in \left[ 0, \frac{N_i}{2} - 1 \right] \\ x_i = y_i + N_i & \text{if } y_i \in \left[ -\frac{N_i}{2}, 0 \right] \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{7}$$

So, while working on a function  $c : \prod_{i=1}^{d} [\![-\frac{N_i}{2}, \frac{N_i}{2} - 1]\!] \to \mathbb{R}$ , we will use the function

$$\tilde{c}(x) := c(\operatorname{sym}_{(N_i)}(x)), \quad \forall x \in \prod_{i=1}^d \llbracket 0, N_i \rrbracket.$$

▲□ → ▲ □ → ▲ □ → □

#### Lemma

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{S}}_{(N_i)}(f)(x) = \mathcal{F}_{(N_i)}(f \circ \operatorname{sym}_{(N_i)})(\operatorname{sym}_{(N_i)}^{-1}(x)).$$

We can state the lemma on which will be built the algorithm :

#### Lemma

Let  $E =: \prod_{i=1}^{d} [[0, N_i]], h : E \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $(A_p)_{p \in E}, (B_q)_{q \in E}$  be two independent white noises. The centered Gaussian Random field indexed on  $\prod_{i=1}^{d} [[0, \frac{N_i}{2}]]$  defined by

$$G(x) := \Re \left( \mathcal{F}_{(N_i)}^{-1} \big( (A + iB)h \big)(x) \right)$$
(8)

has the following covariance function :

$$\mathsf{E}[G(x)G(y)] = \Re \Big( \mathcal{F}_{(N_i)}^{-1} \big( \big| h \big|^2 \big) (\operatorname{sym}_{(N_i)}^{-1} (y - x)) \Big)$$
(9)

#### Lemma

$$\mathcal{F}_{(N_i)}^{\mathcal{S}}(f)(x) = \mathcal{F}_{(N_i)}(f \circ \operatorname{sym}_{(N_i)})(\operatorname{sym}_{(N_i)}^{-1}(x)).$$

We can state the lemma on which will be built the algorithm :

#### Lemma

Let  $E =: \prod_{i=1}^{d} \llbracket 0, N_i \rrbracket$ ,  $h : E \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $(A_p)_{p \in E}$ ,  $(B_q)_{q \in E}$  be two independent white noises. The centered Gaussian Random field indexed on  $\prod_{i=1}^{d} \llbracket 0, \frac{N_i}{2} \rrbracket$  defined by

$$G(x) := \Re \Big( \mathcal{F}_{(N_i)}^{-1} \big( (A + iB)h \big)(x) \Big)$$
(8)

has the following covariance function :

$$\mathsf{E}[G(x)G(y)] = \Re \Big( \mathcal{F}_{(N_i)}^{-1} (|h|^2) (\operatorname{sym}_{(N_i)}^{-1} (y - x)) \Big)$$
(9)

# Heuristic of the Algorithm

- Let  $c: (\mathbb{R}^n)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be a (continuous) covariance function (*i.e.* symmetric definite positive) and we assume that function to be stationary (*i.e.* invariant with respect to translation).
- Notation :  $c(h) := c(0, h), h \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ .
- This last function, according to a consequence of the Böchner's Theorem has a real positive valued Fourier's transform.
- On the other hand, if c has a compact support, or if its tails norm decrease sufficiently fast to 0, the fast Fourier transform can be seen as an approximation of its Fourier's transform.

・ロト ・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

| Continuity  | Exact simulation                          |
|-------------|---|
| Simulation  | Exact simulation                          |
| Application | Algorithm and quantification of the error |

Using those two facts, we can consider that the values of the discrete Fourier transform of *c* are real and (close to being) positive and, with  $h(x) := \sqrt{\mathcal{F}_{(N_i)}(c)(x)} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , the Lemma 5 provides a Gaussian Random Field with covariance *c* 

$$\Xi[G(x)G(y)] = \Re\left(\mathcal{F}_{(N_i)}^{-1}(|h|^2)(y-x)\right)$$
$$= \Re\left(\mathcal{F}_{(N_i)}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{(N_i)}(c))(y-x)\right)$$
$$= \Re(c(x-y))$$
$$= c(x-y) = c(x,y).$$

#### Remark

In the general case (i.e.  $\mathcal{F}_{(N_i)}(c)(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ , because of the symmetry of c) we have

$$\mathsf{E}\left[G(x)G(y)\right] = \Re\left(\mathcal{F}_{(N_i)}^{-1}(\big|\mathcal{F}_{(N_i)}(c)\big|)(y-x)\right).$$

Victor Rabiet

GRF: simulation and quantification of the error

## Unidimensional case

The idea is to approximate *N* values in  $\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$  of a Fourier transform of a function *f* (assuming that the function of interest has negligible values outside of  $\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ ) by

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\frac{x}{N}y} f(y) \, \mathrm{d}y, \qquad x \in \left[ \left[ -\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2} - 1 \right] \right]. \tag{10}$$

then by

$$\frac{1}{N}\mathcal{F}_{N}^{s}(f_{N})(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=-\frac{N}{2}}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} e^{ix\frac{k}{N}} f_{N}(k)$$
(11)

(with  $f_N(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(\frac{k}{N})$ ).

## Error quantification

#### Proposition

Let  $N \in 2\mathbb{N}$ ,  $c : E \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $(A_p)_{p \in E}$ ,  $(B_q)_{q \in E}$  be two independent white noises. The centered Gaussian Random field indexed on  $[\![0, \frac{N}{2}]\!]$  defined by

$$G(x) := \Re \Big( \mathcal{F}_{(N_i)}^{-1} \big( (A + iB) \sqrt{\mathcal{F}_N(c)(x)} \big)(x) \Big)$$
(12)

has a covariance function  $c_G$  such that, for all  $x, y \in [0, \frac{N}{2}]$ ,  $|c(x, y) - c_G(x, y)| \le 2n_N(\varepsilon_c + \varepsilon_N)$  (13)

where  $n_N$  stands for the numbers of x such that  $\mathcal{F}_N(c)(x) < 0$  and with  $\varepsilon_c$  such that

$$\sup_{x\in \left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus \left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]} e^{i2\pi xy} c(y) \, \mathrm{d} y \right| \leq \varepsilon_c.$$

| Continuity  | Exact simulation                          |
|-------------|---|
| Simulation  | Exact simulation                          |
| Application | Algorithm and quantification of the error |

with

$$\varepsilon_{N} \leq \frac{|c(\frac{1}{2})|}{N} + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{b_{2k}}{N^{2k}(2k)!} (2\pi)^{2k-1} 2^{2k+1} \|c\|_{2k+1,i}(\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{N^{2p+1}(2p+1)} R_{N,p}$$
(14)  
where  $\|c\|_{2k+1,o}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\substack{l=1\\l \text{ impair}}}^{2k+1} |c^{(l)}(x)| \text{ and with}$ 
$$|R_{n,p}| \leq (4\pi)^{2p+1} \sup_{\substack{t \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]\\l \in [0, 2p+1]}} |c^{(l)}(t)| \int_{0}^{1} |B_{2p+1}(u)| \, \mathrm{d}u, \quad (15)$$

where  $B_i$  (resp.  $b_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B_i(0)$ ) are the Bernoulli polynomials (resp. Bernoulli numbers).

日本・モート・モー

Continuity Exact simulation Simulation Exact simulation Application Algorithm and quantification of the error

## Example : the exponential covariance case

$$c(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}.$$

we have (with p = 0)

$$|c(x,y)-c_G(x,y)| \leq 2n_N \underbrace{\left(e^{-\frac{1}{8\sigma^2}}\left(4+\frac{1}{N}\right)+\frac{1}{2N}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}+2\pi\right)\right)}_{e_N}.$$

• For *N* = 1000 we have :

0.11 0.09 0.1 0.12 0.13 0.14 0.15  $\sigma$ 0.0532 **e**1000 0.0649 0.0446 0.0385 0.0352 0.0355 0.0408 • For N = 100000.12 0.09 0.1 0.11 0.13 0.14 0.15  $\sigma$ 0.0065 0.0053 0.0046 0.0045 0.0057 0.0097 0.0180 *e*<sub>10000</sub> э

# Example, the exponential covariance case : error (p=0, N=1000)



| Continuity  | Exact simulation                          |
|-------------|---|
| Simulation  | Exact simulation                          |
| Application | Algorithm and quantification of the error |

# Example, the exponential covariance case : error (p=0, N=10000)



## Example, the exponential covariance case

### For p = 1, it follows

$$arepsilon_N \leq rac{ig| m{c}(rac{1}{2})ig|}{N} + rac{b_2}{2N^2} 2\pi 2^3 \Big(ig| m{c}'(rac{1}{2})ig| + ig| m{c}'''(rac{1}{2})ig| \Big) + rac{1}{3N^3} R_{N_3} \ \leq e^{-rac{1}{8\sigma^2}} igg(rac{1}{N} + rac{2\pi}{3N^2} igg(rac{1}{\sigma^2} + rac{3}{\sigma^4} + rac{1}{4\sigma^6}igg) \Big) + rac{1}{N^3} igg(rac{1}{\sigma^2} + rac{3}{2\sigma^4} + rac{1}{8\sigma^6}igg)$$

• For *N* = 1000 we have :

and for  $\sigma = 0.095$ ,  $e_{1000} = 6.7484 \times 10^{-5}$ .

• For *N* = 10000

3 3 4

# Example, the exponential covariance case : error (p=1, N=1000)



Victor Rabiet GRF: simulation and quantification of the error

æ

# Example, the exponential covariance case : error (p=1, N=10000)



Victor Rabiet GRF: simulation and quantification of the error

→ ∃→

æ

## The compact support case

When the covariance function has a compact support included in  $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ , the speed can be improved (especially when the derivatives are uniformly bounded), since we have for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , if *c* is a  $C^p$  function,

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{j\frac{x}{N}y} f(y) \, \mathrm{d}y - \frac{1}{N} \mathcal{F}_{N}^{s}(f_{N})(x) = \frac{R_{N,p}}{N^{2p+1}(2p+1)}$$

with  $||R_{n,p}|| \le M_{2p+1} \int_0^1 |B_{2p+1}(t)| dt$ .

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト

## The multidimensional case

We consider a continuous covariance function  $c : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ belonging to  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Let  $\varepsilon_c$  be such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus [-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]^2} |c(u,v)| \, \mathrm{d} u \, \mathrm{d} v \leq \varepsilon_c.$$

Victor Rabiet GRF: simulation and quantification of the error

(日)

э

| Continuity  | Exact simulation                          |
|-------------|---|
| Simulation  | Exact simulation                          |
| Application | Algorithm and quantification of the error |

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int_{\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]^{2}} g(u,v) \, \mathrm{d}u \, \mathrm{d}v - \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} g(u_{k},v_{l}) \right| \\ & \leq & \frac{1}{2N} \sup_{v \in \left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]} \left| g(\frac{1}{2},v) - g(-\frac{1}{2},v) \right| + \frac{1}{2M} \sup_{u \in \left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]} \left| g(u,\frac{1}{2}) - g(u,-\frac{1}{2}) \right| \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{b_{2k}}{(2k)!} \left( \frac{1}{N^{2k}} \sup_{v \in \left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]} \left| g^{(2k-1)}(\frac{1}{2},v) - g^{(2k-1)}(-\frac{1}{2},v) \right| \\ & + \frac{1}{M^{2k}} \sup_{u \in \left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]} \left| g^{(2k-1)}(u,\frac{1}{2}) - g^{(2k-1)}(u,-\frac{1}{2}) \right| \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{N^{2p+1}(2p+1)} \sup_{v \in \left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]} R^{v}_{N,p} + \frac{1}{M^{2p+1}(2p+1)} \sup_{u \in \left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]} R^{u}_{M,p} \\ = :\varepsilon_{N,M}. \end{split}$$

・ロト・4日・4日・4日・4日・

Continuity Exact simulation Simulation Exact simulation Application Algorithm and quantification of the error

# Example : the exponential covariance case

$$c(u, v) = e^{-\frac{u^2 + v^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
$$g(u, v) = c(u, v)e^{2i\pi \left(u\frac{x}{N} + v\frac{y}{M}\right)}$$

$$g_1'(u,v) = -\frac{u}{\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{u^2+v^2}{2\sigma^2}} e^{2i\pi \left(u\frac{x}{N}+v\frac{y}{M}\right)} + 2i\pi \frac{x}{N}g(u,v)$$
$$= g(u,v)\left(-\frac{u}{\sigma^2}+2i\pi \frac{x}{N}\right).$$

$$g_1''(u,v) = g(u,v) \left( -\frac{u}{\sigma^2} + 2i\pi \frac{x}{N} \right)^2 + \frac{-1}{\sigma^2}$$
$$= g(u,v) \left( \left( -\frac{u}{\sigma^2} + 2i\pi \frac{x}{N} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)$$

| Continuity  | Exact simulation                          |
|-------------|---|
| Simulation  | Exact simulation                          |
| Application | Algorithm and quantification of the error |

And,

$$g_1'''(u,v) = g(u,v) \left( \left( -\frac{u}{\sigma^2} + 2i\pi \frac{x}{N} \right)^3 - \frac{3}{\sigma^2} \left( -\frac{u}{\sigma^2} + 2i\pi \frac{x}{N} \right) \right)$$

It leads to the following upperbound :

$$|g_1'''(u,v)| \leq |c(u,v)| igg(4rac{|u|^3}{\sigma^6} + 4(2\pi)^3 + 3rac{|u|}{\sigma^4} + 6\piigg)$$

#### **Exemples:**

• For N = 1024 we have the following results :

| $\sigma$     | 0.1      | 0.11    | 0.12    | 0.13     | 0.14     | 0.15     |
|--------------|----------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| $e_{1024}^2$ | 0.00097  | 0.00055 | 0.00033 | 0.000212 | 0.000183 | 0.000336 |
| $\sigma$     | 0.16     | 0.17    | 0.18    | 0.19     | 0.2      |          |
| $e_{1024}^2$ | 0.000995 | 0.0029  | 0.0073  | 0.019    | 0.031    |          |

★ E ► ★ E ►

< 17 ×

## The human eye



#### FIGURE: L'œil en coupe

Victor Rabiet GRF: simulation and quantification of the error

æ

Continuity Me Simulation Sin Application Exa

Medical framework Simulation Example of non-stationary gaussian random field

## Corneal endothelium

#### **Definition** (Corneal endothelium)

It separates the cornea from the aqueous humor. It is a cellular monolayer comprising about 500,000 cells whose quality and quantity vary with age.



Continuity Me Simulation Sin Application Exa

Medical framework Simulation Example of non-stationary gaussian random field



The endothelium is composed of relatively regular hexagonal (or almost) cells.

However, there are some more pronounced irregularities :

- on the edges (larger cells);
- in case of pathologies (e.g. Cornea guttata);
- in case of transplant, ...

(日)

 Continuity
 Medical framework

 Simulation
 Simulation

 Application
 Example of non-stationary gaussian random field

# Voronoï Partition

#### Question

How will we simulate the cells ?

If we already have a set of points, we can make a Voronoï partition



- For each point p of the surface S, the Voronoï cell V(p) of p is the set of the points closer to p than any other points of S.
- The Voronoï partition V(S) is the partition made by the Voronoï cells.

(日)



# Poisson point process and Voronoï partion

Random space points are most commonly generated using a Poisson point process...





...which is rather irregular...

Superposition an functions with compact support

We use the combination

 $G_1(x) + f(x)G_2(x)$ 

where *f* is a compact support function :



# Non-stationary gaussian random field : "large center"



Victor Rabiet GRF: simulation and quantification of the error

# Voronoï partition with high centred density



크

| ontinuity | Medical framework                               |
|-----------|---|
| imulation | Simulation                                      |
| plication | Example of non-stationary gaussian random field |

## Weak local density

We use a combination

```
G_1(x) + f(x)G_2(x)
```

where *f* is the complementary of a compact support function



## Voronoï weak local density I



æ

## Voronoï weak local density II



・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

æ

# Random field for simulating the Cornea Guttata



# Conclusion and perspective

- Quantification of the error
- and combination of Gaussian stationary random fields (with related "well chosen" functions belonging to the algebra generated by smooth functions with compact support)

provide an easy to use and interesting modelisation tool, at least in the medical field in which we had to work.

• We are working now with a combination of stationary random fields with multiplicative functions taken in wavelet basis.

(日)

| Continuity  | Medical framework                               |
|-------------|---|
| Simulation  | Simulation                                      |
| Application | Example of non-stationary gaussian random field |
| Application | Example of non-stationary gaussian random field |

## Thank you for the invitation !

Victor Rabiet GRF: simulation and quantification of the error

크